IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

VANTAGE POINT TECHNOLOGY, INC.,	§	
Plaintiff,	§ §	
V.	§ §	Civil Action No.
HUAWEI DEVICE USA, INC. and FUTUREWEI TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,	\$ \$ \$	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendant.	§	

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff Vantage Point Technology, Inc. ("Plaintiff") alleges the following for its complaint against Defendants Huawei Device USA, Inc. and Futurewei Technologies, Inc. (collectively "Defendants").

THE PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff is a corporation formed under the laws of the State of Texas having its principal place of business at 719 W. Front Street, Suite 244, Tyler, Texas 75702.
- 2. Defendant Huawei Device USA, Inc. is a corporation formed under the laws of the state of Texas with a principal place of business at 5700 Tennyson Parkway, Suite 500, Plano, Texas 75024. Defendant may be served with process via its registered agent CT Corporation System, 350 N. St. Paul Street, Suite 2900, Dallas, Texas 75201-4234.
- 3. Defendant Futurewei Technologies, Inc. is a corporation formed under the laws of the state of Texas with a principal place of business at 5700 Tennyson Parkway, Suite 500, Plano, Texas 75024. Defendant may be served with process via its registered agent CT Corporation System, 350 N. St. Paul Street, Suite 2900, Dallas, Texas 75201-4234.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This is a patent infringement action. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1338.
- 5. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants, as they are residents of this District. Defendants have also availed themselves of the rights and benefits of this District by conducting business in this jurisdiction, including by promoting products for sale via the internet, which is accessible to and accessed by residents of this District, and selling products in stores throughout this District.
- 6. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1391(b)-(d) and §1400(b) because Defendants reside in the District and substantial acts of infringement have occurred in this District.

COUNT ONE INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 5,463,750

- 7. On October 31, 1995, U.S. Patent No. 5,463,750 (the "'750 Patent") entitled "Method and Apparatus for Translating Virtual Addresses in a Data Processing System Having Multiple Instruction Pipelines and Separate TLB's for each Pipeline" was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. The application for the '750 Patent was filed on November 2, 1993 and originally assigned to Intergraph Corporation. A true and correct copy of the '750 Patent is attached as Exhibit A hereto.
- 8. Plaintiff is the sole and exclusive owner of all right, title, and interest in the '750 Patent and holds the exclusive right to take all actions, including the filing of this patent infringement lawsuit, necessary to enforce its rights to the '750 Patent. Plaintiff also has the right to recover all damages for past, present, and future infringement of the '750 Patent and to seek injunctive relief as appropriate under the law.

- 9. Defendants have infringed and continue to directly infringe, either literally or by equivalents, one or more claims of the '750 Patent by making, having made, using, selling, offering for sale and/or importing products that satisfy each and every limitation of one or more claims of the '750 Patent, including at least Claim 1. Such products include at least the Vitria phone, which uses the multi-core Qualcomm Krait (Snapdragon S4Plus) core processor design in the MSM8930 chipset, the Huawei Mediapad, which uses the multi-core Qualcomm Scorpion (Snapdragon S3) core processor design in the MSM8260 chipset, and the Huawei Ascend, which uses a dual/quad (multi-core) ARM A5 core processor design (Snapdragon S4Play) in the MSM8225 chipset.
- 10. Defendants' manufacture, sales, offers to sell, and/or importation of the accused products is unauthorized, without the permission of Plaintiff, and constitutes infringement under 35 U.S.C. §271 for which they are directly liable.
- 11. As a result of Defendants' direct infringement, Plaintiff has been damaged monetarily and is entitled to adequate compensation of no less than a reasonable royalty pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff requests a jury on all issues so triable.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court:

- A. Enter judgment that Defendants have directly infringed, either literally or by equivalents, the '750 Patent;
- B. Award Plaintiff damages for Defendants' infringement in an amount to be determined at trial, including enhanced damages, costs, and pre and post-judgment interest; and

C. Award any other relief deemed just and proper.

November 1, 2013

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Paul V. Storm

Paul V. Storm
Texas State Bar No. 19325350
Sarah M. Paxson
Texas State Bar No. 24032826
GARDERE WYNNE SEWELL LLP
1601 Elm Street, Suite 3000
Dallas, Texas 75201
(214) 999-3000
pvstorm@gardere.com
spaxson@gardere.com