IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA MIAMI DIVISION

Case No	
ARRIVALSTAR S.A. and MELVINO TECHNOLOGIES LIMITED,	
Plaintiffs, vs.	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
BENJAMIN MOORE & CO.,	DEMAND FOR JUNI TRIAL
Defendant/	

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiffs, ArrivalStar S.A. and Melvino Technologies Limited (collectively "Plaintiffs"), by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby sue BENJAMIN MOORE & CO. ("BM") for patent infringement, and in support, allege as follows:

NATURE OF THE LAWSUIT

1. This is an action for patent infringement of United States Patent Numbers: 6,952,645; 7,400,970; and, 6,904,359, arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code.

JURISDICTION, VENUE AND THE PARTIES

- 2. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331; 28 U.S.C. § 1338; and 35 U.S.C. § 271.
- 3. This Court has personal jurisdiction over BM pursuant to, *inter alia*, Florida's long-arm statute, § 48.193, in that BM, upon information and belief: (a) operates, conducts, engages in, and/or carries on a business or business adventure(s) in Florida and/or has an office

or agency in Florida; (b) has committed one or more tortious acts within Florida; (c) was and/or is engaged in substantial and not isolated activity within Florida; and/or (d) has purposely availed itself of Florida's laws, services and/or other benefits and therefore should reasonably anticipate being hailed into one or more of the Courts within the State of Florida.

4. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 and 28 U.S.C. § 1400.

THE PLAINTIFFS

- 5. ArrivalStar S.A. is a corporation organized under the laws of Luxembourg, having offices located at 67 Rue Michel, Welter L-2730, Luxembourg. ArrivalStar is the authorized licensee of the patents alleged as being infringed in this lawsuit, with the right to sub-license the patents at issue.
- 6. Melvino Technologies Limited is a corporation organized under the laws of the British Virgin Islands of Tortola, having offices located at P.O. Box 3174, Palm Chambers, 197 Main Street, Road Town, Tortola, British Virgin Islands. Melvino owns all rights, title and interests in the patents alleged as being infringed in this lawsuit.

THE DEFENDANT

7. Defendant BM is a New Jersey Corporation with a principal address located at 101 Paragon Drive, Montvale, New Jersey 07645. BM has locations all throughout Florida. It has stores, offices and goods for sale all throughout the State including many specifically within this Judicial District, including for example, but not limited to, Fort Lauderdale, Boca Raton, Miami, West Palm Beach and Plantation. It has a Registered Agent in Tallahassee, Florida. BM does and has had substantial, systematic and continuous business transactions in Florida, and has, as is readily apparent, at a minimum, offered to provide and/or has provided to customers

specifically within this Judicial District and throughout the State of Florida services and/or products that infringe claims of the '359, '645, and '970 patents.

THE PLAINTIFFS' PATENTS

- 8. Plaintiffs own all right, title and interest in, and/or have standing to sue for infringement of United States Patent Number 6,952,645 ("the '645 patent"), entitled "System and Method for Activation of an Advance Notification System for Monitoring and Reporting Status of Vehicle Travel", issued October 4, 2005. A copy of the '645 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.
- 9. Plaintiffs own all right, title and interest in, and/or have standing to sue for infringement of United States Patent Number 7,400,970 ("the '970 patent"), entitled "System and Method for an Advance Notification System for Monitoring and Reporting Proximity of a Vehicle", issued July 15, 2008. A copy of the '970 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 2.
- 10. Plaintiffs own all right, title and interest in, and/or have standing to sue for infringement of United States Patent Number 6,904,359 ("the '359 patent"), entitled "Notification System and Methods with User-Defineable Notifications Based Upon Occurrence of Events", issued June 7, 2005. A copy of the '359 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 3.
- 11. The '359 patent referenced in Paragraph 10 above was the subject of an Inter Partes reexamination at the United States Patent and Trademark Office. A Reexamination Certificate was issued on May 25, 2010 and is attached hereto as Exhibit 4.

COUNT I DIRECT PATENT INFRINGEMENT

12. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate Paragraphs 1 through 11 set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

- 13. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), BM has directly infringed claims of the '359, '645, and '970 patents through, among other activities, products, programs, applications, functions, systems and methods, the use of tracking and notification technologies within its "ADVANCE SHIP NOTICE" and "SHIPPING CONFIRMATION EMAIL" services that are protected by the '359, '645 and '970 patents, as every claim limitation, or its equivalent, is found in these applications, solutions, devices, programs, products, services, methods and/or systems.
- 14. BM'S direct infringement has injured and will continue to injure Plaintiffs unless and until a monetary judgment is entered in favor of Plaintiffs and/or the Court enters an injunction prohibiting further infringement and, specifically, enjoining further use of methods and systems that come within the scope of the '359, '645, and '970 patents.

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully ask this Court to enter judgment against BM and its subsidiaries, affiliates, agents, servants, employees and all persons in active concert or participation with BM, granting the following relief:

- A. An award of damages against BM adequate to compensate Plaintiffs for the infringement that has occurred with respect to BM, together with prejudgment interest from the date that BM'S infringement of the patents at issue began;
 - B. Increased damages as permitted pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- C. A finding that this case is exceptional and award to Plaintiffs their attorneys' fees and costs as provided by 35 U.S.C. § 285;
- D. A permanent injunction against BM prohibiting further infringement of the patents at issue; and,

E. All other relief as the Court or a jury may deem proper and just in this instance.

COUNT II INDIRECT PATENT INFRINGEMENT

- 15. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate Paragraphs 1 through 14 set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- 16. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 271(b) and (c), BM has indirectly infringed claims of the '359, '645, and '970 patents through, among other activities, products, programs, applications, functions, systems and methods, the use of tracking and notification technologies within its "ADVANCE SHIP NOTICE" and "SHIPPING CONFIRMATION EMAIL" services that are protected by the '359, '645 and '970 patents, as BM actively and intentionally induced infringement with knowledge that the induced acts constituted infringement, or acted with willful blindness; and/or contributed to infringement by one or more third parties as BM had knowledge, rather than intent, that its activity caused such infringement.
- 17. BM'S contributory infringement and/or inducement to infringe has injured and will continue to injure Plaintiffs unless and until a monetary judgment is entered in favor of Plaintiffs and/or the Court enters an injunction prohibiting further infringement and, specifically, enjoining further use of methods and systems that come within the scope of the '359, '645, and '970 patents.

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully ask this Court to enter judgment against BM and its subsidiaries, affiliates, agents, servants, employees and all persons in active concert or participation with BM, granting the following relief:

В

A. An award of damages against BM adequate to compensate Plaintiffs for the

infringement that has occurred with respect to BM, together with prejudgment interest from the

date that BM'S infringement of the patents at issue began;

B. Increased damages as permitted pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;

C. A finding that this case is exceptional and award to Plaintiffs their attorneys' fees

and costs as provided by 35 U.S.C. § 285;

D. A permanent injunction against BM prohibiting further infringement of the

patents at issue; and,

E. All other relief as the Court or a jury may deem proper and just in this instance.

JURY DEMAND

Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiffs hereby demand a

trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Dated: November 22, 2013.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ William R. McMahon

William R. McMahon, Esquire

Florida Bar Number: 39044

McMahon Law Firm, LLC

21070 Sweetwater Lane North

Boca Raton, Florida 33428

Telephone: 561-470-7604

Facsimile: 561-807-5900

Email: bill@mlfllc.com

Counsel for Plaintiffs

6