	I	
1	GUTRIDE SAFIER LLP	
2	ADAM J. GUTRIDE (State Bar No. 181446)	
	adam@gutridesafier.com	
3	SETH A. SAFIER (State Bar No. 197427) seth@gutridesafier.com	
4	TODD KENNEDY (State Bar No. 250267)	
5	todd@gutridesafier.com	
6	MARIE A. MCCRARY (State Bar No. 262670) marie@gutridesafier.com	
7	835 Douglass Street	
8	San Francisco, California 94114	
	Telephone: (415) 789-6390	
9	Facsimile: (415) 449-6469	
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
11		
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
14	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
15		
16	INNOVATIVE AUTOMATION LLC,	Case No. 13-5648
17	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT
18	v.	
	KNO, INC.,	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
19	Defendant.	December 6, 2013
20	Defendant.	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Plaintiff Innovative Automation LLC states its Complaint against defendant Kno, Inc., and alleges as follows:

The Parties

- 1. Plaintiff Innovative Automation LLC is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, with its principal place of business at 606 North First Street, San Jose, California 95112.
- 2. Defendant Kno, Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware, with its principal place of business in Santa Clara, California 95054.

Jurisdiction and Venue

- 3. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the above paragraphs of this Complaint, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
- 4. This action is for patent infringement pursuant to the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 1, *et seq*. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- 5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because, on information and belief, Defendant does and has done substantial business in this judicial District, including (i) maintaining its principal place of business in this judicial District; (ii) committing acts of patent infringement and/or contributing to or inducing acts of patent infringement by others in this judicial District and elsewhere in California; and (iii) regularly doing business or soliciting business, engaging in other persistent courses of conduct, and/or

deriving substantial revenue from products and/or services provided to persons in this District and in this State.

6. Venue is proper in this judicial District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b) because: (i) Defendant resides in this judicial District; (ii) a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claims occurred in this judicial District; and (iii) Defendant has committed acts of infringement in this District.

Count One: Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,174,362 C1

- 7. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the above paragraphs of this Complaint, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
- 8. Plaintiff is the owner of all right, title, and interest in United States Patent No. 7,174,362 C1, entitled "Method and System for Supplying Products from Pre-Stored Digital Data in Response to Demands Transmitted via Computer Network," duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on February 6, 2007 (the "'362 patent"). A true and correct copy of the '362 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 9. The '362 patent generally describes and claims a computerimplemented method of digital data duplication. In the method of claim 1 of
 the '362 patent, a request is taken at one or more user interfaces and is
 transmitted through a network to a computer. The computer contains a
 module to create a task log based on incoming requests; a module for storing
 the necessary data; and a module to create a subset of the data, download that
 subset to an output device, and command the device to transfer the subset onto
 blank media. The request is assigned to an output device, and the duplication
 process is executed. Claims 2-26 of the '362 patent describe various other
 methods and systems of digital data duplication.

10. Defendant has infringed and continues to infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, one or more claims of the '362 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271 by making, using, offering to sell, selling, and/or importing into the United States the patented invention within the United States. Specifically, Defendant has infringed and continues to infringe the '362 patent by making, using, offering to sell, selling, and/or importing into the United States the Kno eTextbooks Store product and service, accessible through at least www.kno.com and mobile device and desktop applications.

11. As a result of Defendant's infringing activities with respect to the '362 patent, Plaintiff has suffered damages in an amount not yet ascertained.

Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate it for Defendant's infringing activities in an amount to be determined at trial, but in no event less than reasonable royalties, together with interest and costs.

Defendant's infringement of Plaintiff's exclusive rights under the '362 patent will continue to damage Plaintiff, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless enjoined by this Court.

Prayer for Relief

Plaintiff requests entry of judgment in its favor against Defendant for the following:

- a) A declaration that Defendant has infringed one or more claims of the '362 patent;
- b) An award of damages adequate to compensate Plaintiff for Defendant's infringement of the '362 patent, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty, together with prejudgment and post-judgment interest and costs, in an amount according to proof;