# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

E-WATCH, INC. AND
E-WATCH CORPORATION,

Plaintiffs,

Plaintiffs,

S

Civil Action No. 2:13-cv-01064

v.

LG ELECTRONICS, INC., LG ELECTRONICS
U.S.A., INC. AND LG ELECTRONICS
MOBILECOMM U.S.A.,

Defendants.

## AMENDED COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs, e-Watch, Inc. and e-Watch Corporation (collectively, "e-Watch" or "Plaintiffs"), by and through their attorneys, for their Amended Complaint against LG Electronics, Inc., LG Electronics U.S.A., Inc. and LG Electronics MobileComm U.S.A. (collectively, "Defendants"), hereby allege as follows:

## I. NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. This is a patent infringement action to end Defendants' unauthorized and infringing manufacture, use, sale, offering for sale, and/or importation of products incorporating Plaintiffs' patented inventions.
- 2. e-Watch, Inc. is owner of all right, title, and interest in and to: United States Patent No. 7,365,871 (the "871 Patent"), issued April 29, 2008, for "Apparatus for Capturing, Converting and Transmitting a Visual Image Signal Via a Digital Transmission System"; United States Patent No. 7,643,168 (the "168 Patent"), issued January 5, 2010, for "Apparatus for Capturing, Converting and Transmitting a Visual Image Signal Via a Digital Transmission

System" (collectively, the "Patents").

- 3. e-Watch Corporation and e-Watch, Inc. are parties to Inter-Company License Agreement, under which e-Watch, Inc. grants to e-Watch Corporation an exclusive license to make, use, sell and support products and services in accordance with the Patents, subject to certain restrictions and reservations of rights.
- 4. Upon information and belief, Defendants manufacture, provide, sell, offer for sale, import, and/or distribute infringing products and services related to those products.
- 5. Plaintiffs further seek monetary damages and prejudgment interest for Defendants' past infringement of the Patents.
- 6. This is an exceptional case, and Plaintiffs are entitled to damages, enhanced damages, attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses.

## II. THE PARTIES

- 7. Plaintiff e-Watch, Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Nevada, with its principal place of business located at 23011 IH-10 West, San Antonio, Texas 78257.
- 8. Plaintiff e-Watch Corporation is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Texas, with its principal place of business located at 23011 IH-10 West, San Antonio, Texas 78257.
- 9. Upon information and belief, Defendant LG Electronics, Inc. is a corporation organized under the laws of Korea, with its principal place of business located at LG Twin Tower 128, Yeoui-daero, Yeongdeungpo-gu, Seoul, 150-721, Republic of Korea, where it can be served with process. Upon information and belief, Defendant LG Electronics, Inc. is authorized to do business in Texas.

- 10. Upon information and belief, Defendant LG Electronics U.S.A., Inc. is a wholly-owned subsidiary of LG Electronics and is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business located at 920 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey 07632. Upon information and belief, Defendant LG Electronics U.S.A., Inc. is authorized to do business in Texas where it can be served with process through its registered agent United States Corporation Co., 211 E. 7<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 620, Austin, Texas 78701-3218
- 11. Upon information and belief, Defendant LG Electronics MobileComm U.S.A., Inc. is a California corporation with its principal place of business located at 10101 Old Grove Road, San Diego, California 92131. Upon information and belief, Defendant LG Electronics MobileComm U.S.A., Inc. is authorized to do business in Texas where it can be served with process through its registered agent National Registered Agents, Inc., 1999 Bryan Street, Ste. 900, Dallas, Texas 75201-3136.

# III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 12. This is an action for patent infringement which arises under the Patent Laws of the United States, in particular, 35 U.S.C. §§271, 281, 283, 284, and 285. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action under 28 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1338(a).
- 13. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants, and venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1391(b), (c), and 1400.

### IV. PATENTS-IN-SUIT

14. The Patents disclose an image capture, conversion, compression, storage and transmission system. The system provides a data signal representing the image in a format and protocol capable of being transmitted over transmission systems and received equipment receiving stations. In its most comprehensive form, the system is capable of capturing/receiving

and sending audio, documentary and visual image data to and from remote stations.

- 15. Plaintiffs have obtained all substantial right and interest to the Patents, including all rights to recover for all past and future infringements thereof.
  - 16. Plaintiffs have acted in conformity with 35 U.S.C. § 287.

## V. DEFENDANTS' ACTS

- 17. Upon information and belief, Defendants manufacture, provide, sell, offer for sale, and/or distribute certain infringing camera phones that are capable of operating over cellular networks, including by way of example LG Viper 4G LTE ("Camera Phones"). By doing so, Defendants have infringed the Patents.
- 18. Upon information and belief, Defendants' Camera Phones are infringing systems. Defendants encourage and instruct their customers to use these infringing systems in a manner that infringes the Patents.
- 19. Plaintiffs have been and will continue to suffer damages as a result of Defendants' infringing acts.

## VI. WILLFULNESS

20. Plaintiffs allege upon information and belief that Defendants have knowingly or with reckless disregard willfully infringed the Patents. Defendants have cited one or both of the Patents in connection with it obtaining their own patents. Defendants have acted with knowledge of the Patents and despite an objectively high likelihood that their actions constituted infringement of e-Watch's valid patent rights. This objectively-defined risk was either known or so obvious that it should have been known to Defendants. Plaintiffs seek enhanced damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284.

#### **COUNT ONE**

## PATENT INFRINGEMENT—U.S. PATENT NO. 7,365,871

- 21. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate herein paragraphs 1–16.
- 22. Upon information and belief, Defendants have directly infringed the '871 Patent.
- 23. The aforementioned acts of Defendants have caused damage to Plaintiffs and will continue to do so.

#### **COUNT TWO**

## PATENT INFRINGEMENT—U.S. PATENT NO. 7,643,168

- 24. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate herein paragraphs 1–19.
- 25. Upon information and belief, Defendants have directly infringed the '168 Patent.
- 26. Defendants' aforementioned acts have caused damage to Plaintiffs and will continue to do so.

#### VII. JURY DEMAND

27. Plaintiffs hereby demand a jury on all issues so triable.

## VIII. REQUEST FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs e-Watch, Inc. and e-Watch Corporation respectfully request that the Court:

- A. Enter judgment that Defendants infringed one or more claims of the Patents asserted against them in the above Counts literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents;
- B. Award Plaintiffs e-Watch, Inc. and e-Watch Corporation past and future damages together with prejudgment and post-judgment interest to compensate for the infringement by Defendants of the Patents asserted against them in the Counts above in accordance with 35 U.S.C. §284, and increase such award by up to three times the amount found or assessed in accordance with 35 U.S.C. §284;

- C. Declare this case exceptional pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §285; and
- D. Award Plaintiffs e-Watch, Inc. and e-Watch Corporation their costs, disbursements, attorneys' fees, and such further and additional relief as is deemed appropriate by this Court.

Dated: December 13, 2013

## Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Christopher V. Goodpastor

Christopher V. Goodpastor State Bar No. 00791991 LEAD ATTORNEY Mikal C. Watts

State Bar. No. 20981820 WATTS GUERRA LLP

811 Barton Springs Road, Suite 725

Austin, Texas 78704

Telephone: (512) 479-0500 Facsimile: (512) 479-0502

Email: <a href="mailto:cgoodpastor@wattsguerra.com">cgoodpastor@wattsguerra.com</a>
<a href="mailto:mcwattsguerra.com">mcwatts@wattsguerra.com</a>

Francisco Guerra, IV State Bar No. 00797784 WATTS GUERRA LLP 300 Convent Street, Suite 100 San Antonio, Texas 78205 Telephone: (210) 527-0500 Facsimile: (210) 527-0501

Email: fguerra@wattsguerra.com

Andrew G. DiNovo State Bar No. 00790594 Adam G. Price State Bar No. 24027750

Chester J. Shiu

State Bar No. 24071126

Stefanie T. Scott

State Bar No. 24061617

Gregory S. Donahue

State Bar No. 24012539

DINOVO PRICE ELLWANGER & HARDY LLP

7000 N. MoPac Expressway, Suite 350

Austin, Texas 78731

T. John Ward, Jr. State Bar No. 00794818 WARD & SMITH LAW FIRM P.O. Box 1231 Longview, Texas 75606-1231

Telephone: (903) 757-6400 Facsimile: (903) 757-2323 Email: jw@wsfirm.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS e-WATCH, INC. AND e-WATCH CORPORATION