COMPLAINT

244765

Case 2:14-cv-00471-SJO-PJW Document 1 Filed 01/21/14 Page 1 of 19 Page ID #:1

Plaintiff Black Hills Media, LLC ("Black Hills" or "Plaintiff"), for its Complaint against Pioneer Corporation and Pioneer Electronics (USA) Inc. ("Defendants"), states and alleges as follows:

THE PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff Black Hills Media, LLC, is a Delaware limited liability company having its principal place of business at 1000 N. West St., Suite 1200, Wilmington, Delaware 19801.
- 2. Upon information and belief, Defendant Pioneer Corporation is a Japanese corporation with its principal place of business at 1-1 Shin-ogura, Saiwai-ku, Kawasaki-shi, Kanagawa 212-0031, Japan.
- 3. Upon information and belief, Defendant Pioneer Electronics (USA) Inc. is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at 2265 E. 220th Street, Long Beach, California 90810.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This is an action for patent infringement under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35, United States Code, specifically §§ 271 and 281-285. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under Title 28 United States Code §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- 5. Venue is properly within the district under Title 28 United States Code §§ 1391(b) and (c) and 1400(b). On information and belief, Defendants have purposely transacted business involving their accused products in this judicial district, have committed acts of direct and/or indirect infringement in this judicial district and continue to commit acts of infringement in this district.

BACKGROUND

2

3

4

5

6 7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

- Black Hills owns all of the rights and interests in United States Patent 6. Nos. 8,045,952 ("the '952 Patent"); 8,050,652 ("the '652 Patent"); 6,985,694 ("the '694 Patent"); 6,108,686 ("the '686 Patent"); 8,230,099 ("the '099 Patent"); 8,214,873 ("the '873 Patent"); and 8,458,356 ("the '356 Patent") (collectively, the "Patents in Suit").
- The '952 Patent entitled "Method and Device for Obtaining Playlist 7. Content Over a Network" was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on October 25, 2011, after full and fair examination. A copy of the '952 Patent is attached as Exhibit A.
- 8. The '652 Patent entitled "Method and Device for an Internet Radio Capable of Obtaining a Playlist Content From a Content Server" was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on November 1, 2011, after full and fair examination. A copy of the '652 Patent is attached as Exhibit B.
- 9. The '694 Patent entitled "Method and System for Providing an Audio Element Cache in a Customized Personal Radio Broadcast" was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on January 10, 2006, after full and fair examination. A copy of the '694 Patent is attached as Exhibit C.
- 10. The '686 Patent entitled "Agent-Based On-Line Information Retrieval and Viewing System" was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on August 22, 2000. A copy of the '686 Patent is attached as Exhibit D.
- The '099 Patent entitled "System and Method for Sharing Playlists" 11. was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on July 24, 2012. A copy of the '099 Patent is attached as Exhibit E.
- 12. The '873 Patent entitled "Method, System, and Computer-Readable Medium for Employing a First Device to Direct a Networked Audio Device to

Render a Playlist" was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on July 3, 2012. A copy of the '873 Patent is attached as Exhibit F.

- 13. The '356 Patent entitled "System and Method for Sharing Playlists" was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on June 4, 2013. A copy of the '356 Patent is attached as Exhibit G.
- 14. Defendants sell and distribute, including upon information and belief within the Central District of California, at least the following AV receivers, AV receivers-Elite line, networked Blu-ray players, and home theater systems: VSX-1122-K, VSX-1121-K, VSX-1022-K, VSX-1021-K, VSX-822-K, VSX-920-K, VSX-921-K, VSX-60, VSX-53, VSX-52, VSX-51, VSX-50, VSX-42, SC-68, SC-67, SC-65, SC-63, SC-61, SC-57, SC-55, BDP-430, BDP-330, BDP-140, BDP-53FD, BDP-43FD, BDP-33FD, BDP-52FD, BDP-41FD, BDP-31FD, BDP-150, SC-1222-K, SC-1522-K, HTZ-BD32, and HTZ-BD51 (the "accused products").

COUNT I

INFRINGEMENT OF THE '952 PATENT

- 15. Plaintiff incorporates each of the preceding paragraphs 1 14 as if fully set forth herein.
- 16. Defendants are directly or indirectly infringing at least claim 9 of the '952 Patent literally, or under the doctrine of equivalents, by importing into the United States, and/or making, using, selling, or offering for sale in the United States, including the Central District of California, products embodying the patented inventions claimed in the '952 Patent without authority, including but not limited to the products identified in preceding paragraph 14, or by contributing to or inducing infringement of the '952 Patent by a third party.
- 17. Upon information and belief, after becoming aware of the '952 Patent and their infringement thereof, Defendants have intended, and continue to intend, to

4 5

> 7 8

6

9 10

12

11

13 14

16

15

17 18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27 28 induce third party infringement of the '952 Patent and have knowledge that the inducing acts would cause infringement or have been willfully blind to the possibility that their inducing acts would cause infringement.

- Black Hills made Defendants aware of the '952 Patent through discussions with Defendants' counsel shortly after May 22, 2012. Additionally, upon information and belief, Defendants have been aware of, and continue to be aware of, the '952 Patent since at least September 12, 2012, and September 14, 2012 respectively, when Black Hills served on Defendants its First Amended Complaint in action 2:13-cv-05980 alleging Defendants infringe the '952 Patent. Furthermore, on December 21, 2012 Defendants filed their Answer to Black Hills First Amended Complaint in the aforementioned action, evidencing their knowledge of the '952 Patent. Subsequently, on August 2, 2013, Defendants filed their Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings in the aforementioned action, acknowledging their knowledge of the '952 Patent. Finally, Defendants were provided a copy of Black Hills' proposed Second Amended Complaint in the aforementioned action alleging infringement of inter alia the '952 Patent on October 10, 2013.
- Defendants' inducement of infringement of the '952 Patent includes, 19. but is not limited to, actively encouraging and instructing third parties to use Defendants' products in ways that infringe the '952 Patent. Defendants ship the accused products with pre-loaded streaming services (including Internet music subscription services) and/or provides advertising and detailed instructions (i.e., teaching) to third parties (e.g., at the Defendants' website and/or in product manuals and supplements) on how to obtain and use streaming services and other functions with the accused products. Users of Defendants' products, therefore, will infringe the '952 Patent.
- 20. Examples of such instructions can be found in the following link to the Defendants' website and the attached Exhibit H:

- http://www.pioneerelectronics.com/PUSA/Home/AV-Receivers/Elite+Receivers/SC-57. (See the "Overview" and "Specifications" tabs. Under the "Specifications" tab, expand "Handheld and Internet.")
- http://itunes.apple.com/us/app/icontrolav2/id418081365?mt=8
- http://itunes.apple.com/us/app/icontrolav2012/id499185618?mt=8

The links above show the Defendants encouraging and instructing their customers how to add additional functionality to the purchased devices by obtaining and using applications that make the user of the device a direct infringer of claims of the '952 Patent.

- 21. Defendants knew that their encouragement and instructions to third parties results in infringement of the '952 Patent. Defendants are thus liable for inducing infringement of the '952 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 271(b).
- 22. The infringement by the Defendants of the '952 Patent has injured Black Hills and will cause Black Hills added irreparable injury and damage in the future unless Defendants are enjoined from infringing the '952 Patent.

COUNT II

INFRINGEMENT OF THE '652 PATENT

- 23. Plaintiff incorporates each of the preceding paragraphs 1 22 as if fully set forth herein.
- 24. Defendants are directly or indirectly infringing at least claims 1, 21, 42, and 63 of the '652 Patent literally, or under the doctrine of equivalents, by importing into the United States, and/or making, using, selling, or offering for sale in the United States, including the Central district of California, products embodying the patented inventions claimed in the '652 Patent without authority, including but not limited to the products identified in preceding paragraph 14, or by contributing to or inducing infringement of the '652 Patent by a third party.

27

28

20

21

Upon information and belief, after becoming aware of the '652 Patent 25. and their infringement thereof, Defendants have intended, and continue to intend, to induce third party infringement of the '652 Patent and have knowledge that the inducing acts would cause infringement or have been willfully blind to the possibility that their inducing acts would cause infringement.

- Black Hills made Defendants aware of the '652 Patent through 26. discussions with Defendants' counsel shortly after May 22, 2012. Additionally, upon information and belief, Defendants have been aware of, and continue to be aware of, the '652 Patent since at least September 12, 2012, and September 14, 2012 respectively, when Black Hills served on Defendants its First Amended Complaint in action 2:13-cv-05980 alleging Defendants infringe the '652 Patent. Furthermore, on December 21, 2012 Defendants filed their Answer to Black Hills' First Amended Complaint in the aforementioned action, evidencing their knowledge of the '652 Patent. Subsequently, on August 2, 2013, Defendants filed their Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings in the aforementioned action acknowledging their knowledge of the '652 Patent. Finally, Defendants were provided a copy of Black Hills' proposed Second Amended Complaint in the aforementioned action alleging infringement of inter alia the '652 Patent on October 10, 2013.
- Defendants' inducement of infringement of the '652 Patent includes, 27. but is not limited to, actively encouraging and instructing third parties to use Defendants' products in ways that infringe the '652 Patent. Defendants ship the accused products with pre-loaded streaming services (including Internet music subscription services) and/or provide advertising and detailed instructions (i.e., teaching) to third parties (e.g., at the Defendants' website and/or in product manuals or supplements) on how to obtain and use streaming services and other functions with the accused products. Users of Defendants' products, therefore, will infringe the '652 Patent.

- Examples of such instructions can be found in the following link to the Defendants' website and the attached Exhibit H:
 - http://www.pioneerelectronics.com/PUSA/Home/AV-Receivers/Elite+Receivers/SC-57. (See the "Overview" and "Specifications" tabs. Under the "Specifications" tab, expand "Handheld and Internet.")
 - http://itunes.apple.com/us/app/icontrolav2/id418081365?mt=8
- The links above show the Defendants encouraging and instructing their customers how to add additional functionality to the purchased devices by obtaining and using applications that make the user of the device a direct infringer of claims of the '652
- Defendants knew that their encouragement and instructions to third parties results in infringement of the '652 Patent. Defendants are thus liable for inducing infringement of the '652 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 271(b).
- The infringement by the Defendants of the '652 Patent has injured Black Hills and will cause Black Hills added irreparable injury and damage in the future unless Defendants are enjoined from infringing the '652 Patent.

COUNT III

INFRINGEMENT OF THE '694 PATENT

- Plaintiff incorporates each of the preceding paragraphs 1 30 as if fully set forth herein.
- Defendants are directly or indirectly infringing at least claim 1 of the '694 Patent literally, or under the doctrine of equivalents, by importing into the United States, and/or making, using, selling, or offering for sale in the United States, including the Central District of California, products embodying the patented inventions claimed in the '694 Patent without authority, including but not

9

7

10 11 12

14

15

16

13

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

limited to the products identified in preceding paragraph 14, or by contributing to or inducing infringement of the '694 Patent by a third party.

- Upon information and belief, after becoming aware of the '694 Patent 33. and their infringement thereof, Defendants have intended, and continue to intend, to induce third party infringement of the '694 Patent and have knowledge that the inducing acts would cause infringement or have been willfully blind to the possibility that their inducing acts would cause infringement.
- Black Hills made Defendants aware of the '694 Patent through 34. discussions with Defendants' counsel shortly after May 22, 2012. Additionally, upon information and belief, Defendants have been aware of, and continue to be aware of, the '694 Patent since at least September 12, 2012, and September 14, 2012 respectively, when Black Hills served on Defendants its First Amended Complaint in action 2:13-cv-05980 alleging Defendants infringe the '694 Patent. Furthermore, on December 21, 2012 Defendants filed their Answer to Black Hills' First Amended Complaint in the aforementioned action, evidencing their knowledge of the '694 Patent. Subsequently, on August 2, 2013, Defendants filed their Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings in the aforementioned action acknowledging their knowledge of the '694 Patent. Finally, Defendants were provided a copy of Black Hills' proposed Second Amended Complaint in the aforementioned action alleging infringement of inter alia the '694 Patent' on October 10, 2013.
- Defendants' inducement of infringement of the '694 Patent includes, 35. but is not limited to, actively encouraging and instructing third parties to use Defendants' products in ways that infringe the '694 Patent. Defendants ship the accused products with pre-loaded streaming services (including Internet music subscription services) and/or provides advertising and detailed instructions (i.e., teaching) to third parties (e.g., at the Defendant's website and/or in product manuals and supplements) on how to obtain and use streaming services and other functions

- 5 6
- 7

8

- 9
- 10 11
- 12
- 13
- 14 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

- in the United States, including the Central District of California, products embodying the patented inventions claimed in the '686 Patent without authority, including but not limited to the products identified in preceding paragraph 14, or by contributing to or inducing infringement of the '686 Patent by a third party.
- Upon information and belief, after becoming aware of the '686 Patent 41. and its infringement thereof, Defendants have intended, and continued to intend, to induce third party infringement of the '686 Patent and have knowledge that the inducing acts would cause infringement or have been willfully blind to the possibility that their inducing acts would cause infringement.
- 42. Upon information and belief, Defendants have been aware of, and continue to be aware of, the '686 Patent since at least September 12, 2012, and September 14, 2012 respectively, when Black Hills served on Defendants its First Amended Complaint in action 2:13-cv-05980 alleging Defendants infringe the '686 Patent. In addition, on December 21, 2012 Defendants filed their Answer to Black Hills' First Amended Complaint in the aforementioned action, evidencing their knowledge of the '686 Patent. Subsequently, on August 2, 2013, Defendants filed their Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings in the aforementioned action acknowledging their knowledge of the '686 Patent. Finally, Defendants were provided a copy of Black Hills' proposed Second Amended Complaint in the aforementioned action alleging infringement of *inter alia* the '686 Patent on October 10, 2013.
- Defendants' inducement of infringement of the '686 Patent includes, 43. but is not limited to, actively encouraging and instructing third parties to use Defendants' products in ways that infringe the '686 Patent. Defendants ship the accused products with pre-loaded streaming services (including Internet music subscription services) and/or provide advertising and detailed instructions (i.e., teaching) to third parties (e.g., at the Defendants' website and/or in product manuals and supplements) on how to obtain and use streaming services and other functions

2

3

with the accused products. Users of Defendants' products, therefore, will infringe the '686 Patent.

- 44. Examples of such instructions can be found in the following link to the Defendants' website and the attached Exhibit H:
 - http://www.pioneerelectronics.com/PUSA/Home/AV-Receivers/Elite+Receivers/SC-57. (See the "Overview" and "Specifications" tabs. Under the "Specifications" tab, expand "Handheld and Internet.")
 - http://itunes.apple.com/us/app/icontrolav2/id418081365?mt=8
- http://itunes.apple.com/us/app/icontrolav2012/id499185618?mt=8

 The links above show the Defendants encouraging and instructing their customers how to add additional functionality to the purchased devices by obtaining and using applications that make the user of the device a direct infringer of claims of the '686 Patent
- 45. Defendants knew that their encouragement and instructions to third parties results in infringement of the '686 Patent. Defendants are thus liable for inducing infringement of the '686 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(b).
- 46. The infringement by the Defendants of the '686 Patent has injured Black Hills and will cause Black Hills added irreparable injury and damage in the future unless Defendants are enjoined from infringing the '686 Patent.

COUNT V

INFRINGEMENT OF THE '099 PATENT

- 47. Plaintiff incorporates each of the preceding paragraphs 1 46 as if fully set forth herein.
- 48. Defendants are directly or indirectly infringing at least claims 11 and 12 of the '099 Patent literally, or under the doctrine of equivalents, by importing into the United States, and/or making, using, selling, or offering for sale in the

5

10

11

8

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

244765

United States, including the Central District of California, products embodying the patented inventions claimed in the '099 Patent without authority, including but not limited to the products identified in preceding paragraph 14, or by contributing to or inducing infringement of the '099 Patent by a third party.

- Upon information and belief, after becoming aware of the '099 Patent 49. and its infringement thereof, Defendants have intended, and continue to intend, to induce third party infringement of the '099 Patent and have knowledge that the inducing acts would cause infringement or has been willfully blind to the possibility that their inducing acts would cause infringement.
- Upon information and belief, Defendants have been aware of, and 50. continue to be aware of, the '099 Patent since at least September 12, 2012, and September 14, 2012 respectively, when Black Hills served on Defendants its First Amended Complaint in action 2:13-cv-05980 alleging Defendants infringe the '099 Patent. In addition, on December 21, 2012 Defendants filed their Answer to Black Hills' First Amended Complaint in the aforementioned action, evidencing their knowledge of the '099 Patent. Subsequently, on August 2, 2013, Defendants filed their Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings in the aforementioned action acknowledging their knowledge of the '099 Patent. Finally, Defendants were provided a copy of Black Hills' proposed Second Amended Complaint in the aforementioned action alleging infringement of inter alia the '099 Patent on October 10, 2013.
- Defendants' inducement of infringement of the '099 Patent includes, 51. but is not limited to, actively encouraging and instructing third parties to use Defendants' products in ways that infringe the '099 Patent. Defendants ship the accused products with pre-loaded software and services (including Internet music subscription services) and/or provides advertising and detailed instructions (i.e., teaching) to third parties (e.g., at the Defendants' website and/or in product manuals and supplements) on how to obtain and use streaming services and other functions

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12 13

15 16

14

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

- States, including the Central District of California, products embodying the patented inventions claimed in the '873 Patent without authority, including but not limited to the products identified in preceding paragraph 14, or by contributing to or inducing infringement of the '873 Patent by a third party.
- Upon information and belief, after becoming aware of the '873 Patent 57. and their infringement thereof, Defendants have intended, and continues to intend, to induce third party infringement of the '873 Patent and have knowledge that the inducing acts would cause infringement or has been willfully blind to the possibility that its inducing acts would cause infringement.
- Upon information and belief, Defendants have been aware of, and 58. continue to be aware of, the '873 Patent since at least September 12, 2012, and September 14, 2012 respectively, when Black Hills served on Defendants its First Amended Complaint in action 2:13-cv-05980 alleging Defendants infringe the '873 Patent. In addition, on December 21, 2012 Defendants filed their Answer to Black Hills' First Amended Complaint in the aforementioned action, evidencing their knowledge of the '873 Patent. Subsequently, on August 2, 2013, Defendants filed their Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings in the aforementioned action acknowledging their knowledge of the '873 Patent. Finally, Defendants were provided a copy of Black Hills' proposed Second Amended Complaint in the aforementioned action alleging infringement of inter alia the '873 Patent on October 10, 2013.
- Defendants' inducement of infringement of the '873 Patent includes, 59. but is not limited to, actively encouraging and instructing third parties to use Defendants' products in ways that infringe the '873 Patent. Defendants ship the accused products with pre-loaded software and services (including Internet music subscription services) and/or provide advertising and detailed instructions (i.e., teaching) to third parties (e.g., at the Defendants' website and/or in product manuals and supplements) on how to obtain and use streaming services and other functions

- States, including the Central District of California, products embodying the patented inventions claimed in the '356 Patent without authority, including but not limited to the products identified in preceding paragraph 14 or by contributing to or inducing infringement of the '356 Patent by a third party.
- 65. Upon information and belief, after becoming aware of the '356 Patent and their infringement thereof, Defendants have intended, and continue to intend, to induce third party infringement of the '356 Patent and have knowledge that the inducing acts would cause infringement or have been willfully blind to the possibility that its inducing acts would cause infringement.
- 66. Upon information and belief, Defendants are aware of the '356 Patent since at the earliest, June 4, 2013, and at the latest October 10, 2013. Defendants were sued for patent infringement of the '873 Patent on May 22, 2012 in action 2:13-cv-05980, and served with such Complaint on September 12, 2012 and September 14, 2012 respectively. The '356 Patent is related to the '873 Patent. Thus, upon information and belief, Defendants would have been aware of the '356 Patent after it issued on June 4, 2013. Alternatively, Defendants received a copy of the proposed Second Amended Complaint in the aforementioned action on October 10, 2013 via email, therefore, have been aware of the '356 Patent since at the latest that date. Additionally, on October 10, 2013 Defendants received a copy of the Second Amended Complaint in the aforementioned action via the Central District of California's Electronic Court Filing system.
- 67. Defendants' inducement of infringement of the '356 Patent includes, but is not limited to, actively encouraging and instructing third parties to use Defendants' products in ways that infringe the '356 Patent. Defendants ship the accused products with pre-loaded software and services (including Internet music subscription services) and/or provide advertising and detailed instructions (i.e., teaching) to third parties (e.g., at the Defendants' website and/or in product manuals and supplements) on how to obtain and use streaming services and other functions

COMPLAINT

COMPLAINT

Case 2:14-cv-00471-SJO-PJW Document 1 Filed 01/21/14 Page 19 of 19 Page ID #:19