# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

MASS ENGINEERED DESIGN, INC.,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	Civil Action No.
V.	§	
	§	
ISE, INC. and ISE GROUP OF COMPANIES	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
	§	
Defendants.	§	

### COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff, Mass Engineered Design, Inc. (hereinafter, "MASS" or "Plaintiff"), by and through its undersigned counsel, files this Original Complaint against Defendants, ISE, Inc. and ISE Group of Companies (hereinafter, "ISE" or "Defendants"), as follows:

## **NATURE OF THE ACTION**

1. This is a patent infringement action to stop Defendants' infringement of United States Patent Nos. RE36,978 (the "'978 Patent"), 8,462,103 (the "'103 Patent), and 8,102,331 (the "'331 Patent") (collectively, the "Patents-in-Suit").

#### **PARTIES**

- 2. Plaintiff Mass Engineered Design, Inc. is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of Ontario, Canada.
- 3. Upon information and belief, Defendant ISE, Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Ontario, Canada, with its principal place of business located at 950 Warden Avenue, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M1L 4E3.

4. Upon information and belief, Defendant ISE Group of Companies is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of British Columbia, Canada, with its principal place of business located at 1734 Broadway St., Port Coquitlam, British Columbia, Canada V3C 2M8.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. This action arises under the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 1 et seq., including 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, 281, 283, 284, and 285. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this case for patent infringement under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- 6. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants, including because Defendants have minimum contacts within the State of Texas; Defendants have purposefully availed itself of the privileges of conducting business in the State of Texas; Defendants have sought protection and benefit from the laws of the State of Texas; Defendants regularly conducts business within the State of Texas; and Plaintiff's cause of action arises directly from Defendants' business contacts and other activities in the State of Texas.
- 7. More specifically, Defendants, directly and/or through intermediaries, make, distribute, import, offer for sale, sell, advertise, and/or use, multi-monitor display stands and/or systems, including the accused products identified herein, that practice the claimed multi-display systems of the Patents-in-Suit in the State of Texas. Defendants have committed patent infringement in the State of Texas, and/or have induced others to commit and/or has contributed to patent infringement in the State of Texas. Defendants solicit customers in the State of Texas. Defendants have paying customers who are residents of the State of Texas and who purchase and/or use Defendants' infringing products and services in the State of Texas. Further, Defendants have an interactive website that is accessible from the State of Texas.
  - 8. Venue is proper in the Eastern District of Texas pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b),

including because Defendants have purposefully availed themselves of the privileges of conducting business in the Eastern District of Texas; Defendants regularly conduct business within the Eastern District of Texas; and Plaintiff's cause of action arises directly from Defendants' business contacts and other activities in the Eastern District of Texas.

9. More specifically, Defendants, directly and/or through intermediaries, make, distribute, import, offer for sale, sell, advertise, and/or use, multi-monitor display stands and/or systems, including the accused products identified herein, that practice the claimed multi-display systems of the Patents-in-Suit in the Eastern District of Texas. Defendants have committed patent infringement in the Eastern District of Texas, have induced others to commit infringement in the Eastern District of Texas. Defendants solicit customers in the Eastern District of Texas. Defendants have paying customers who are residents of Eastern District of Texas and who purchase and/or use the Defendants' products and services in the Eastern District of Texas.

## COUNT I – INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. RE36,978

- 10. MASS refers to and incorporates herein the allegations of Paragraphs 1-9 above.
- 11. The '978 Patent, entitled "Dual Display System," was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") on December 5, 2000 after full and fair reissue examination. Additionally, the '978 Patent was subject to reexamination on March 4, 2010. A Reexamination Certificate issued on May 10, 2011, confirming the patentability of claims 1-8, 13, 16 and 17 (the remaining claims were not the subject of reexamination) and also adding new claims 18-38. Plaintiff is the exclusive licensee of the '978 Patent and it has all substantial rights to the '978 Patent, including the right and standing to sue and recover damages for past, present and future infringement of the patent.

- 12. Upon information and belief, Defendants make, distribute, import, offer for sale, sell, advertise, and/or use, without limitation, multi-monitor display stands and/or systems, namely products comprising, *inter alia*, a base member (including as this Court has previously construed that term), at least a pair of electronic displays (including as this Court has previously construed that term), an arm assembly, support means (including as this Court has previously construed that term), and mounting means (including as this Court has previously construed that term). Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendants infringe the '978 Patent by and through at least their manufacture, distribution, importation, offer to sell, sale, and/or use of the products comprising at least the following ISE Model Nos. MA5000-2-S-C/G; MA-2-L-C/G; MA-2-S-C/G; MA-3-C/G; MA-4-C/G; MA-6-C/G; MA4000-2-AH-C/G-BM2; MA4000-3-1HH2AH-C/G-BM4; and MA4000-2-AH-C/G.
- 13. Additionally, or in the alternative, upon information and belief, Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendants have induced infringement of the '978 Patent in this judicial district, the State of Texas, and elsewhere in the United States, by actions comprising intentionally inducing infringement of the '978 Patent in this judicial district, the State of Texas, and elsewhere in the United States, including by aiding or abetting at least customers and other end users to use said products. Upon information and belief, such induced infringement has occurred at least since Defendants became aware of the '978 Patent, which was at least on or about November 2009, and Defendants' inducement of infringement involves Defendants' knowledge that the induced acts constitute patent infringement.
- 14. Additionally, or in the alternative, upon information and belief, Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendants have contributed to infringement of the '978 Patent in this judicial district, the State of Texas, and elsewhere in the United States, by actions comprising contributing to at

least the use of said products by customers and/or other end users in this judicial district, the State of Texas, and elsewhere in the United States, and such contributory infringement necessarily involves knowledge that such systems and apparatuses are especially made or especially adapted for use in an infringement of the '978 Patent, and not a staple article or commodity of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing use.

- 15. Each of Defendants' aforesaid activities has been without authority and/or license from Plaintiff.
- 16. Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants the damages sustained by Plaintiff as a result of Defendants' wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial, which, by law, cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.
- 17. Defendants' infringement of Plaintiff's exclusive rights under the '978 Patent will continue to damage Plaintiff, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless enjoined by this Court.

### **COUNT II – INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,462,103**

- 18. MASS refers to and incorporates herein the allegations of Paragraphs 1-17 above.
- 19. The '103 Patent, entitled "Computer Display Screen System And Adjustable Screen Mount, And Swinging Screens Therefor," was duly and legally issued by the USPTO on June 11, 2013 after full and fair examination. Plaintiff is the exclusive licensee of the '103 Patent and it has all substantial rights to the '103 Patent, including the right and standing to sue and recover damages for past, present and future infringement of the patent.
- 20. Upon information and belief, Defendants make, distribute, import, offer for sale, sell, advertise, and/or use, without limitation, a multi-display monitor system, namely products

comprising, *inter alia*, a support member and an arm assembly as claimed in the '103 Patent. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendants infringe the '103 Patent by and through at least their manufacture, distribution, importation, offer to sell, sale, and/or use of products comprising at least the following ISE Model Nos. MA-2-L-C/G; MA-2-S-C/G; MA-3-C/G; MA-4-C/G; MA-6-C/G; MA4000-2-AH-C/G-BM2; MA4000-3-1HH2AH-C/G-BM4; and MA4000-2-AH-C/G.

- 21. Additionally, or in the alternative, upon information and belief, Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendants have induced infringement of the '103 Patent in this judicial district, the State of Texas, and elsewhere in the United States, by actions comprising intentionally inducing infringement of the '103 Patent in this judicial district, the State of Texas, and elsewhere in the United States, including by aiding or abetting at least customers and other end users to use said products. Upon information and belief, such induced infringement has occurred at least since Defendants became aware of the '103 Patent, and Defendants' inducement of infringement involves Defendants' knowledge that the induced acts constitute patent infringement.
- 22. Additionally, or in the alternative, upon information and belief, Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendants have contributed to infringement of the '103 Patent in this judicial district, the State of Texas, and elsewhere in the United States, by actions comprising contributing to at least the use of said products by customers and/or other end users in this judicial district, the State of Texas, and elsewhere in the United States, and such contributory infringement necessarily involves knowledge that such systems and apparatuses are especially made or especially adapted for use in an infringement of the '103 Patent, and not a staple article or commodity of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing use.
- 23. Each of Defendants' aforesaid activities has been without authority and/or license from Plaintiff.

- 24. Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants the damages sustained by Plaintiff as a result of Defendants' wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial, which, by law, cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.
- 25. Defendants' infringement of Plaintiff's exclusive rights under the '103 Patent will continue to damage Plaintiff, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless enjoined by this Court.

#### COUNT III – INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,102,331

- 26. MASS refers to and incorporates herein the allegations of Paragraphs 1-25 above.
- 27. The '331 patent, entitled "Horizontal Three Screen LCD Display System," was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on January 24, 2012 after full and fair examination. Plaintiff is the exclusive licensee of the '331 Patent and it has all substantial rights to the '331 Patent, including the right and standing to sue and recover damages for past, present and future infringement of the patent.
- 28. Upon information and belief, Defendants make, distribute, import, offer for sale, sell, advertise, and/or use, without limitation, a multi-display monitor system, namely products comprising, *inter alia*, a support member and an arm assembly as claimed in the '331 Patent. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendants infringe the '331 Patent by and through at least their manufacture, distribution, importation, offer to sell, sale, and/or use of products comprising at least the following ISE Model Nos. MA5000-2-S-C/G.
- 29. Additionally, or in the alternative, upon information and belief, Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendants have induced infringement of the '331 Patent in this judicial district, the State of Texas, and elsewhere in the United States, by actions comprising intentionally inducing

Infringement of the '331 Patent in this judicial district, the State of Texas, and elsewhere in the United States, including by aiding or abetting at least customers and other end users to use said products. Upon information and belief, such induced infringement has occurred at least since Defendants became aware of the '331 Patent, and Defendants' inducement of infringement involves Defendants' knowledge that the induced acts constitute patent infringement.

- 30. Additionally, or in the alternative, upon information and belief, Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendants have contributed to infringement of the '331 Patent in this judicial district, the State of Texas, and elsewhere in the United States, by actions comprising contributing to at least the use of said products by customers and/or other end users in this judicial district, the State of Texas, and elsewhere in the United States, and such contributory infringement necessarily involves knowledge that such systems and apparatuses are especially made or especially adapted for use in an infringement of the '331 Patent, and not a staple article or commodity of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing use.
- 31. Each of Defendants' aforesaid activities has been without authority and/or license from Plaintiff.
- 32. Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants the damages sustained by Plaintiff as a result of Defendants' wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial, which, by law, cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.
- 33. Defendants' infringement of Plaintiff's exclusive rights under the '331 Patent will continue to damage Plaintiff, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless enjoined by this Court.

### **JURY DEMAND**

34. Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil

Procedure.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

35. Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court find in its favor and against Defendants, and

that the Court grant Plaintiff the following relief:

A. An adjudication that one or more claims of the Patents-in-Suit has been directly and/or

indirectly infringed, either literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by Defendants;

B. An award to Plaintiff of damages adequate to compensate Plaintiff for Defendants' acts of

infringement, together with pre-judgment and post-judgment interest;

C. A grant of permanent injunction pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 283, enjoining Defendants and all

persons acting in concert therewith from further acts of infringement with respect to the

claims of the Patents-in-Suit;

D. That this Court declare that Defendants' infringement has been, and continues to be,

willful, including that Defendants acted to infringe the Patents-in-Suit despite an

objectively high likelihood that its actions constituted infringement of a valid patent and,

accordingly, award enhanced damages, including treble damages, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §

284;

E. That this Court declare this to be an exceptional case and award Plaintiff reasonable

attorneys' fees and costs in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 285; and

F. Any further relief that this Court deem just and proper.

May 5, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ John J. Edmonds

John J. Edmonds – Lead Attorney

9

Texas Bar No. 789758
Stephen F. Schlather
Texas Bar No. 24007993
Shea N. Palavan
Texas Bar No. 24083616
COLLINS, EDMONDS, POGORZELSKI,
SCHLATHER & TOWER, PLLC
1616 South Voss Road, Suite 125
Houston, Texas 77057
Telephone: (281) 501-3425
Facsimile: (832) 415-2535
jedmonds@cepiplaw.com
sschlather@cepiplaw.com
spalavan@cepiplaw.com

Andrew Spangler Texas Bar No. 24041960 Spangler Law P.C. 208 N. Green St., Ste. 300 Longview, Texas 75601 Phone: (903) 753-9300 Fax: (903) 553-0403

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF MASS ENGINEERED DESIGN, INC.