IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AUSTIN DIVISION

BANDSPEED, INC.,

Plaintiff,

V.

QUALCOMM INCORPORATED,
QUALCOMM ATHEROS, INC., and
QUALCOMM INNOVATION CENTER
INC.,

Defendants.

S

CASE NO. 1:14-cv-436-LY

S

S

CASE NO. 1:14-cv-436-LY

S

S

S

CASE NO. 1:14-cv-436-LY

S

S

S

S

Defendants.

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Bandspeed, Inc. ("Bandspeed"), by and through its attorneys, file its First Amended Complaint against defendants Qualcomm, Incorporated, Qualcomm Atheros, Inc. and Qualcomm Innovation Center, Inc. (collectively, "Qualcomm" or "Defendants"), and hereby alleges as follows:

I. NATURE OF ACTION

- 1. This is a patent infringement action to end Defendants' unauthorized and infringing manufacture, use, sale, offering for sale, and/or importation of methods and products incorporating Bandspeed's patented inventions.
- 2. Bandspeed is the owner of all right, title, and interest in and to: United States Patent No. 7,027,418 ("the '418 Patent"), issued on April 11, 2006 for "Approach for Selecting Communications Channels Based on Performance"; United States Patent No. 7,570,614 ("the '614 patent"), issued on August 4, 2009 for "Approach for Managing Communications Channels Based on Performance"; United States Patent No. 7,477,624 ("the '624 Patent"), issued on

January 13, 2009 for "Approach for Managing the Use of Communications Channels Based on Performance"; United States Patent No. 7,903,608 ("the '608 Patent"), issued on March 8, 2011 for "Approach for Managing the Use of Communications Channels Based on Performance"; United States Patent No. 8,542,643 ("the '643 Patent), issued on September 24, 2013 for "Approach for Managing the Use of Communications Channels Based on Performance"; and United States Patent No. 8,873,500 ("the '500 Patent), issued on October 28, 2014 for "Approach for Managing the Use of Communications Channels Based on Performance" (collectively, the "Patents"). Upon information and belief, each Defendant has been and currently is infringing, contributing to the infringement of, and/or inducing the infringement of Bandspeed's patents, by, among other things, making, using, selling, importing, and/or offering for sale, within the territorial boundaries of the United States, products that are covered by one or more claims of Bandspeed's patents.

- 3. Defendants manufacture, provide, sell, offer for sale, import, and/or distribute infringing products and services; and/or induces others to make and use its products and services in an infringing manner; and/or contributes to the making and use of infringing products and services by others, including their customers, who directly infringe the Patents.
- 4. This is an exceptional case, and Bandspeed is entitled to damages, enhanced damages, attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses.

II. THE PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff Bandspeed is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business located in Austin, Texas.
- 6. Upon information and belief, Qualcomm Incorporated is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business located at 5775 Morehouse Drive, San Diego, California

92121-1714. Upon information and belief, defendant Qualcomm, Incorporated is authorized to do business in Texas. Qualcomm, Incorporated may be served by serving its registered agent Prentice Hall Corp System, 211 E. 7th Street, Suite 620, Austin, Texas 78701-3218. Qualcomm has appeared in this action.

- 7. Upon information and belief, Qualcomm Atheros, Inc. is a Delaware corporation and is a wholly owned subsidiary of Qualcomm, Incorporated with its principal place of business located at 1700 Technology Drive, San Jose, California 95110. Upon information and belief, defendant Qualcomm, Incorporated is authorized to do business in Texas. Qualcomm Atheros, Inc. may be served by serving its registered agent Lexis Nexis Document Solutions, Inc., 211 E. 7th Street, Suite 620, Austin, Texas 78701-3218.
- 8. Upon information and belief, Qualcomm Innovation Center, Inc. is a Delaware corporation and is a wholly owned subsidiary of Qualcomm Technologies, Inc. with its principal place of business located at 5775 Morehouse Drive, San Diego, California 92121-1714. Upon information and belief, defendant Qualcomm Innovation Center, Inc. is authorized to do business in Texas. Qualcomm Innovation Center, Inc. may be served by serving its registered agent CSC-Lawyers Incorporating Service, 211 E. 7th Street, Suite 620, Austin, Texas 78701-3218.

III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 9. This is an action for patent infringement which arises under the Patent Laws of the United States, in particular 35 U.S.C. §271, 281, 283, 284, and 285. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action under 28 U.S.C. §1331 and 1338(a).
- 10. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants and venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b), (c), and 1400.

IV. PLAINTIFF'S PATENTS

- 11. The '418, '624, '608, '643, and '500 Patents disclose an approach for selecting sets of communications channels that involves determining the performance of communications channels. A set of channels is selected based on the results of performance testing and specified criteria. The participant generates data that identifies the selected set of channels and provides that data to other participants of the communications network. The participants communicate over the set of channels, such as by using a frequency hopping protocol. When a specified time expires or monitoring of the performance of the channel set identifies poor performance of the set of channels, the participant selects another set of channels for use in communications based on additional performance testing.
- 12. The '614 Patent discloses an approach for managing communications channels based on performance. It involves selecting a particular channel based on channel performance. Based on the selected channel, channel identification data is provided to another participant of the communications system to determine on which channel to respond.
- 13. Bandspeed has all substantial right and interest to the Patents, including all rights to recover for all past and future infringement thereof.

V. DEFENDANTS' ACTS

14. Defendants manufacture, provide, sell, offer for sale, and/or distribute infringing products. Examples of the infringing products include integrated circuits—or a set of integrated circuits—that use an adaptive frequency hopping communication protocol in version 1.2 and any later version of the Bluetooth Specification, and all of Defendants' products that operate in a reasonably similar manner.

- 15. Defendants have had knowledge of several Patents since December 2008 and of the '418, '624, '608, and '643 Patents at least as early as the time of service of the Original Complaint. In 2008, Plaintiff provided Defendants with information regarding Plaintiff's intellectual property portfolio relating to Bluetooth products, including a list identifying and describing Plaintiff's Bluetooth issued patents (including the '418 Patent), Plaintiffs' Bluetooth patent applications that had been allowed by the U.S. Patent Trademark Office (including the application issued as the '624 Patent), and Plaintiffs' Bluetooth patent applications that were later issued as patents by the U.S. Patent Trademark Office (including the '614 Patent). The '624 and '614 Patents were issued by the U.S. Patent Trademark Office approximately one and nine months, respectively, after Plaintiff identified and described them to Defendants. Defendants have had knowledge of the '500 Patent at least as early as the time of service of this First Amended Complaint.
- 16. In 2009, Bandspeed provided Defendants with an updated list identifying and describing Bandspeed's '418, '624, and '614 Patents as issued and Plaintiffs' Bluetooth patent applications that were later issued as patents by the U.S. Patent Trademark Office (including the '608 Patent).
- 17. In 2009 and 2010, Bandspeed filed suit against several Bluetooth product manufacturers alleging infringement of the '418 and '614 Patents. According to publicly available information, one or more of these Bluetooth product manufacturers provided their products using one or more of Defendant's products. Based on publicly available information and belief, one or more of these Bluetooth product manufacturers provided Defendants with notice of infringement of the '418 and/or '614 Patents.

- 18. In 2011, 2012, and 2013, Bandspeed served subpoenas on one more Defendants seeking information regarding the use of Defendant's products in the products of Bluetooth product manufacturers against whom Plaintiff had asserted claims of infringement of the '418 and '614 Patents. The subpoenas identified the '418 and '614 Patents and numerous categories of documents and subject matters regarding Defendants' products that are relevant to Plaintiff's claims of infringement of the '418 and '614 Patents.
- 19. Despite this knowledge, Defendants continue broadly selling their infringing devices in the marketplace. Further, with knowledge of the Patents, Defendants provide related services, specifications and instructions for the installation and infringing operation of such products to its customers, who directly infringe the Patents.
- 20. Through their actions, Defendants have infringed the '418 Patent, '614 Patent, '624 Patent, '608 Patent, '643 Patent, and '500 Patent and actively induced others to infringe and contributed to the infringement by others of the '418 Patent, '614 Patent, '624 Patent, '608 Patent, '643 Patent, and '500 Patent, throughout the United States.
- 21. Defendants manufacture, provide, sell, offer for sale, and/or distribute integrated circuits that use an adaptive frequency hopping communication protocol in version 1.2 and any later version of the Bluetooth Specification.
- 22. Adaptive frequency hopping is material to practicing the invention described by the Patents.
 - 23. Defendants are members of the Bluetooth Special Interest Group.
- 24. Defendants intentionally manufacture and sell integrated circuits that are specifically designed to provide adaptive frequency hopping and other functionalities in

6

compliance with version 1.2 or later of the Bluetooth Specification in a manner that infringes the Patents.

- 25. Defendants take extensive steps to test these products to ensure compliance with the Bluetooth Specification and to qualify an integrated circuit for Bluetooth certification.
- 26. In connection with compliance, for qualifying Bluetooth products, Defendants prepare a Core Implementation Compliance Statement (or Core ICS).
- 27. The Core ICS requires Defendants to acknowledge whether the product supports certain capabilities, including adaptive frequency hopping (AFH), which is found in Table 26 of the Link Manager Protocol section.
- 28. Defendants have submitted Core ICSs that indicate "Yes" for support of certain features of AFH that infringe the Patents. For example,
 - a. Defendants have submitted Core ICSs that indicate "Yes" for Table 26, Item 1, "Support of AFH switch as master"; and
 - b. Defendants have submitted Core ICSs that indicate "Yes" for Table 26, Item 6, "Support of Channel Classification."

Other portions of Defendant' Core ICSs also provide relevant evidence of infringement of the Patents.

- 29. Certain of Defendants' customers require features and capabilities, including among others, adaptive frequency hopping, that comply with the Bluetooth Specification, and Defendants market and advertise the benefits of their infringing products' compliance with the Bluetooth Specification regarding such features and capabilities.
- 30. Moreover, Defendants induce their customers to infringe and contribute to the infringement of their customers by instructing or specifying that their customers install the

infringing integrated circuits in products such that they operate in an infringing manner.

Defendants specify that the infringing products operate in an infringing manner by providing source code or firmware on the integrated circuit that causes it to operate in an infringing manner.

- 31. The normal, intended operation of Defendants' products to provide certain capabilities and features, including among others adaptive frequency hopping, in compliance with version 1.2 or later of the Bluetooth Specification infringes the Patents. The products therefore have no substantial non-infringing uses.
- 32. Therefore, Defendants induce their customers to directly infringe or contribute to the direct infringement of their customers.
- 33. Bandspeed has been and will continue to suffer damages as a result of Defendants' infringing acts.

COUNT ONE PATENT INFRINGEMENT – U.S. PATENT NO. 7,027,418

- 34. Plaintiff Bandspeed realleges and incorporates herein paragraphs 1-33.
- 35. Defendants have directly infringed the '418 Patent.
- 36. Defendants have indirectly infringed the '418 Patent by inducing the infringement of the '418 Patent and contributing to the infringement of the '418 Patent.
- 37. Upon information and belief, Defendants have jointly infringed the '418 Patent, including by controlling and/or directing others to perform one or more of the claimed method steps.
- 38. Defendants' aforementioned acts have caused damage to Bandspeed and will continue to do so.

8

COUNT TWO PATENT INFRINGEMENT – U.S. PATENT NO. 7,570,614

- 39. Bandspeed realleges and incorporates herein paragraphs 1 33.
- 40. Defendants have directly infringed the '614 Patent.
- 41. Defendants have indirectly infringed the '614 Patent by inducing the infringement of the '614 Patent and contributing to the infringement of the '614 Patent.
- 42. Upon information and belief, Defendants have jointly infringed the '614 Patent, including by controlling and/or directing others to perform one or more of the claimed method steps.
- 43. Defendants' aforementioned acts have caused damage to Bandspeed and will continue to do so.

COUNT THREE PATENT INFRINGEMENT – U.S. PATENT NO.7,477,624

- 44. Bandspeed realleges and incorporates herein paragraphs 1- 33.
- 45. Defendants have directly infringed the '624 Patent.
- 46. Defendants have indirectly infringed the '624 Patent by inducing the infringement of the '624 Patent and contributing to the infringement of the '624 Patent.
- 47. Upon information and belief, Defendants have jointly infringed the '624 Patent, including by controlling and/or directing others to perform one or more of the claimed method steps.
- 48. Defendants' aforementioned acts have caused damage to Bandspeed and will continue to do so.

COUNT FOUR PATENT INFRINGEMENT – U.S. PATENT NO. 7,903,608

49. Bandspeed realleges and incorporates herein paragraphs 1-33.

- 50. Defendants have directly infringed the '608 Patent.
- 51. Defendants have indirectly infringed the '608 Patent by inducing the infringement of the '608 Patent and contributing to the infringement of the '608 Patent.
- 52. Upon information and belief, Defendants have jointly infringed the '608 Patent, including by controlling and/or directing others to perform one or more of the claimed method steps.
- 53. Defendants' aforementioned acts have caused damage to Bandspeed and will continue to do so.

COUNT FIVE PATENT INFRINGEMENT – U.S. PATENT NO. 8,542,643

- 54. Bandspeed realleges and incorporates herein paragraphs 1-33.
- 55. Defendants have directly infringed the '643 Patent.
- 56. Defendants have indirectly infringed the '643 Patent by inducing the infringement of the '643 Patent and contributing to the infringement of the '643 Patent.
- 57. Upon information and belief, Defendants have jointly infringed the '643 Patent, including by controlling and/or directing others to perform one or more of the claimed method steps.
- 58. Defendants' aforementioned acts have caused damage to Bandspeed and will continue to do so.

COUNT SIX PATENT INFRINGEMENT – U.S. PATENT NO. 8,873,500

- 59. Bandspeed realleges and incorporates herein paragraphs 1 30.
- 60. Defendant has directly infringed the '500 Patent.

- 61. Defendant has indirectly infringed the '500 Patent by inducing the infringement of the '500 Patent and contributing to the infringement of the '500 Patent.
- 62. Upon information and belief, Defendant has jointly infringed the '500 Patent, including by controlling and/or directing others to perform one or more of the claimed method steps.
- 63. Defendants' aforementioned acts have caused damage to Bandspeed and will continue to do so.

VI. WILLFULNESS

- 64. Bandspeed realleges and incorporates herein paragraphs 1- 33. Bandspeed alleges upon information and belief that Defendants have knowingly or with reckless disregard willfully infringed the Patents. Defendants' knowledge includes knowledge of the '418 and '614 Patents and their related patents and/or applications—including the '624, '608 and '643 Patents—by virtue of Bandspeed and other parties having notified Defendants of their infringing acts. Defendants acted with knowledge of the Patents and despite an objectively high likelihood that their actions constituted infringement of Bandspeed's valid patent rights.
- 65. This objectively-defined risk was either known or so obvious that is should have been known to Defendants. Bandspeed seeks enhanced damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §284.

VII. JURY DEMAND

66. Plaintiff Bandspeed hereby demands a jury on all issues so triable.

VIII. REQUEST FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, plaintiff Bandspeed respectfully requests that the Court:

A. Enter judgment that Defendants infringe one or more claims of the Patents literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents;

B. Award plaintiff Bandspeed past and future damages together with prejudgment and post-judgment interest to compensate for the infringement by Defendants of the Patents in accordance with 35 U.S.C. §284, and increase such award by up to three times the amount found or assessed in accordance with 35 U.S.C. §284;

C. Declare this case exceptional pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 285; and

D. Award plaintiff Bandspeed its costs, disbursements, attorneys' fees, and such further and additional relief as is deemed appropriate by this Court.

Dated: January 16, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

By: <u>/s/ Christopher V. Goodpastor</u>

Christopher V. Goodpastor Texas State Bar No. 00791991

Andrew G. DiNovo

Texas State Bar No. 00790594

Adam G. Price

Texas State Bar No. 24027750

Gregory S. Donahue

Texas State Bar No. 24012539

DINOVO PRICE ELLWANGER &

HARDY LLP

7000 N. MoPac Expressway

Suite 350

Austin, Texas 78731

Telephone: (512) 539-2626

Facsimile: (512) 539-2627

Email: cgoodpastor@dpelaw.com

adinovo@dpelaw.com aprice@dpelaw.com gdonahue@dpelaw.com

Mikal C. Watts
Texas State Bar No. 20981820
Francisco Guerra, IV
Texas State Bar No. 00797784
WATTS GUERRA LLP
4 Dominion Drive, Bldg. 3, Ste 100
San Antonio, Texas 78247
Telephone: (210) 447-0500
Facsimile: (210) 447-0501

Email: mcwatts@wattsguerra.com

fguerra@wattsguerra.com

ATTORNEYS FORPLAINTIFFBANDSPEED, INC.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on January 16, 2015, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which sent notification of such filing to all parties. I further certify that I have served via e-mail to the following non-CM/ECF participants.

/s/ Christopher V. Goodpastor Christopher V. Goodpastor