# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

Interface IP Holdings LLC,	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
v.	)	Civil Action No.
National Railroad Passenger Corporation,	)	
Defendant.	) ) )	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

# **COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

Plaintiff Interface IP Holdings LLC ("Interface IP"), by and through its undersigned counsel, files this Complaint for Patent Infringement against Defendant National Railroad Passenger Corporation ("Defendant") as follows:

#### The Parties

- 1. Plaintiff Interface IP is a limited liability company duly organized and existing under the laws of California, having its principal place of business in Foster City, California.
- 2. Upon information and belief, Defendant is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the District of Columbia, with its principal place of business in Washington, DC.

#### **Nature of the Action**

3. This is a civil action for the infringement of United States Patent Nos. 7,406,663 ("the '663 patent") and 7,500,201 ("the '201 patent") (collectively, "the Patents-in-Suit") under the Patent Laws of the United States 35 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq*.

#### **Jurisdiction and Venue**

4. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to

- 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) because this action arises under the patent laws of the United States, including 35 U.S.C. § 271 *et seq*.
- 5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because, among other things, Defendant conducts or has conducted business in Delaware. On information and belief, Defendant has committed and/or participated in the commission of patent infringement in Delaware and elsewhere in the United States, and has harmed and continues to harm Interface IP in Delaware.
- 6. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b).

# **The Patents-in-Suit**

- 7. On July 29, 2008, the '663 patent, titled "Graphical Input Device With Dynamic Field Width Adjustment For Input Of Variable Data Via A Browser-Based Display," was duly and lawfully issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("PTO"). The '663 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 8. On March 3, 2009, the '201 patent, titled "Data Input Method And System With Multi-Sub-Field Matching Of User Entries Into A Graphical Input Device," was duly and lawfully issued by the PTO. The '201 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B.
- 9. Interface IP owns the '663 and '201 patents and holds the right to sue and recover damages for infringement thereof, including past infringement.

#### Count 1

#### Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,406,663

- 10. Paragraphs 1-9 are incorporated by reference as if fully restated herein.
- 11. Defendant has directly infringed, and continues to directly infringe, at least claim 1 of the '663 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by using and/or operating websites that practice at least claim 1 including, for example, www.amtrak.com.
  - 12. Interface IP has been and continues to be damaged by Defendant's infringement

of the '663 patent.

# Count 2

# Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,500,201

- 13. Paragraphs 1-12 are incorporated by reference as if fully restated herein.
- 14. Defendant has directly infringed, and continues to directly infringe, at least claim 1 of the '201 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by using and/or operating websites that practice at least claim 1 including, for example, www.amtrak.com.
- 15. Interface IP has been and continues to be damaged by Defendant's infringement of the '201 patent.

# **Prayer for Relief**

Wherefore, Plaintiff Interface IP respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment against Defendant as follows:

- a) adjudging that the Defendant has infringed, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents,
   the Patents-in-Suit;
- b) awarding Interface IP the damages to which it is entitled under 35 U.S.C. § 284 for Defendant's past infringement and any continuing or future infringement up until the date Defendant is finally and permanently enjoined from further infringement, and ordering a full accounting of same;
- c) awarding Interface IP pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on its damages; and
- d) awarding Interface IP such other and further relief in law or equity that the Court deems just and proper.

# **Demand for Jury Trial**

Interface IP hereby demands a trial by jury on all claims and issues so triable.

Dated: March 7, 2012 Respectfully submitted,

FARNAN LLP

/s/ Brian E. Farnan

Brian E. Farnan (Bar No. 4089) 919 North Market Street 12<sup>th</sup> Floor Wilmington, DE 19801 (302) 777-0300 (302) 777-0301 bfarnan@farnanlaw.com

Counsel for Plaintiff

# Of Counsel:

Bradford J. Black
Peter H. Chang
Andrew G. Hamill
BLACK CHANG & HAMILL LLP
4 Embarcadero Center, Suite 1400
San Francisco, CA 94111
(415) 813-6210
(415) 813-6222
bblack@bchllp.com
pchang@bchllp.com
ahamill@bchllp.com